



## The Planning Inspectorate

### Application by Ørsted Hornsea Project Four Limited for an Order granting Development Consent for Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm

#### Hearing Action Points arising from Issue Specific Hearing 10 (ISH10) dealing with matters relating to marine processes and ecology (excluding ornithology) held virtually on Wednesday 20 July 2022

Action	Description	Action by	When
1	Discuss, and provide comments on, the depth of cable burial required in the intertidal area and any allowances required to account for climate change and possible exposure.	Applicant and Marine Management Organisation (MMO)	Deadline 6 (D) 6
2	Review and update <i>Outline Cable Installation Plan</i> [REP2-031].	Applicant	D6
3	<i>Outline Marine Monitoring Plan</i> [APP-242] to be updated to consider incorporation of a suitable trigger for far-field monitoring.	Applicant	D7
4	Review and update the <i>Outline Marine Monitoring Plan</i> [APP-242] to provide details on the extent and timescale for monitoring of wake effects on the Flamborough Front to be undertaken. Consider MMO suggestions, including satellite monitoring informing the near-field monitoring surveys.	Applicant	D7
5	Provide feedback on the <i>Clarification Note on Marine Processes Mitigation and Monitoring</i> [REP5a-017], including the Applicant's proposals for monitoring any effects on the Flamborough Front.	The Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) and Natural England (NE)	D6
6	Provide feedback on any implications of the updated marine processes information for the reliability of the benthic ecology baseline.	NE and MMO	D6
7	Provide an indication if the papers by Christiansen <i>et al</i> (2022), Dorrell <i>et al</i> (2022), and Timko <i>et al</i> (2019), as cited in other reports in relation to the Flamborough Front, have implications for, or should be	Applicant	D6

Action	Description	Action by	When
	included in, the Marine Processes Supplementary Report, with reasoning.		
8	Provide clarification on Professor Elliot's report [REP5-066] (e.g., paragraphs 2.6.1.2 and 2.6.1.4) in regard to whether it is NE's or his views that are being discussed.	Applicant	D6
9	Provide current position on the adequacy of scope of marine process receptors (if not fully covered by recent submission [AS-048]).	NE	D6
10	Provide an updated position on the potential impacts of piling and redeposition of suspended sediment on herring spawning.	MMO	D6
11	Submit updated <i>Outline Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol</i> .	Applicant	D6
12	Signpost where in the Environmental Statement any impacts arising from the temporary access ramp have been assessed.	Applicant	D6
13	Review the <i>Cable Specification and Installation Plan</i> [REP2-031] and provide an updated view on the Applicant's reinstatement proposals for the HDD exit pits, which remains as an amber issue on your risk log (if not fully covered by recent submission [AS-048]).	NE	D6
14	In liaison with the MMO, confirm the position regarding the accreditation of the laboratory used for the Particle Size Analysis of sediment samples. To include the resolution of position around the frequency of ongoing sediment sampling.	Applicant	D6
15	Provide a response to the Applicant's <i>Clarification Note on Drill Arisings and Deposited Sediments</i> [REP5-083] (if not fully covered by your recent submission [AS-048]).	NE	D6
16	Respond to the written submissions and the oral evidence on the Maximum Design Scenario for rock protection across Smithic Bank and provide a final position on this matter (if not fully covered by your recent submission [AS-048]).	NE	D6